



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 6

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY
P.O. BOX 1663, MS A187
LOS ALAMOS, NM 87545

COPY MAILED

SEP 23 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of
Kraus et al.
Application No. 09/995,304
Filed: November 27, 2001
Attorney Docket No. S-94,769

:
:
: DECISION GRANTING PETITION
: UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)
:

This is a decision on the petition, filed June 2, 2003, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the instant nonprovisional application for failure to timely notify the U.S. Patent and Trademark (USPTO) of the filing of an application in a foreign country, or under a multinational treaty that requires publication of applications eighteen months after filing. *See* 37 CFR 1.137(f).

The petition is **GRANTED**.

Petitioner states that the instant nonprovisional application is the subject of an application filed in an eighteen month publication country on October 23, 2002. However, the USPTO was unintentionally not notified of this filing within 45 days subsequent to the filing of the subject application in an eighteen month publication country.

In view of the above, this application became abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) for failure to timely notify the Office of the filing of an application in a foreign country or under a multilateral international agreement that requires publication of applications 18 months after filing.

A petition to revive an application abandoned pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) for failure to notify the USPTO of a foreign filing must be accompanied by:

- (1) the required reply which is met by the notification of such filing in a foreign country or under a multinational treaty;
- (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); and

(3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date of the reply until the filing of a grantable petition was unintentional.

The instant petition has been found to be in compliance with 37 CFR 1.137(b). Accordingly, the failure to timely notify the USPTO of a foreign or international filing within 45 days after the date of filing of such foreign or international application as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(iii) and 37 CFR 1.213(c) is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

The previous Request and Certification under 35 U.S.C. § 122(b)(2)(B)(i) has been rescinded. A Notice Regarding Rescission of Nonpublication Request which sets forth the projected publication date of January 1, 2004 was previously mailed on September 22, 2003.

This application is being forwarded to Technology Center Art Unit 1643 for examination in due course.

Any inquiries concerning this decision may be directed to Paralegal Liana Chase at (703) 306-0482.



Frances Hicks

Lead Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Assistant Commissioner
for Patent Policy and Projects